

## Cancer Genetics – Testing and Management of Hereditary Risk

Leigha Senter, MS, CGC
Professor of Internal Medicine
Certified Genetic Counselor
Interim Director - Division of Human Genetics
The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center

MedNet21

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERS

#### **Objectives**

- Describe current genetic testing practices for personal diagnoses and family history of cancer
- Apply appropriate screening/management recommendations for patients with hereditary breast, ovarian, prostate, pancreatic, and colon cancer predisposition syndromes
- Recognize essential process elements related to genetic testing outside of genetics clinics

## Germline (hereditary) genetic testing in oncology

One result with many areas of impact

- Comprehensive risk assessment
- Anticipatory guidance for future cancer risk
  - Cancer risk management options
- Familial impact

#### At/after cancer diagnosis

- Understanding of cancer etiology
- Possible impact on therapeutics



## Approach to testing – no longer just BRCA!

Multigene panel testing is the norm – testing many genes at once

- Disease-centric multigene panel (eg. Breast ca genes only)
- Pan-cancer multigene panel
  - Genes associated with broad spectrum of cancer risk
- Variation among options (guidelines-based vs. those with minimal/emerging evidence)
- Very uncommon to test for single gene/syndrome
  - Exception: when familial variant is already known...but still consider a panel

### Genetic testing for patients with breast cancer

BRCA1, BRCA2, CDH1, PALB2, PTEN, STK11, TP53

8-9% unselected patients test positive

American Society of Breast Surgeons (ASBS)

All patients with breast cancer

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

- All triple negative (ER/PR/HER2)
- All patients making decisions about treatment
- All males
- Age 50 or younger for other breast cancer types
- Any age with significant family history

## Genetic testing for unaffected patients – family history of breast cancer

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN)

- 1st or 2nd degree relative with one of the "qualifying cancers" (previous slide) except for those who are testing for treatment decisions only
- Those with greater than 5% chance of mutation using risk models

Gene	Absolute breast cancer risk	Age to initiate screening (NCCN)		
BRCA1	60-72%	MRI age 25; Mammo age 30		
BRCA2	55-69%	MRI age 25; Mammo age 30		
TP53	>60%	MRI age 20; Mammo age 30		
CDH1	37-55%	MRI and mammo age 30		
PALB2	32-53%	MRI and mammo age 30		
PTEN	40-60%	MRI and mammo age 30		
STK11	32-54%	MRI and mammo age 30		
ATM	21-24%	Consider MRI age 30-35; Mammo age 40		
BARD1	17-30%	Mammo and consider MRI age 40		
CHEK2	23-27%	Consider MRI age 30-35; Mammo age 40		
NF1	20-40%	MRI and mammo age 30		
RAD51C/RAD51D	~20%	Mammo and consider MRI age 40		

#### **Breast risk reduction**

- Risk-reducing agents (e.g. tamoxifen)
- Risk-reducing mastectomies (RRM)
  - Up to 90% reduction in risk
  - Discussion of timing, psychological impact, reconstruction options, possible complications
  - Contralateral RRM often considered at time of unilateral breast cancer diagnosis
    - Need timely test results

## Genetic testing for patients with ovarian cancer

ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, BRIP1, PALB2, RAD51C, RAD51D, Lynch Syndrome genes

NCCN, Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO), others

 All patients with epithelial ovarian cancer (and 1st/2nd degree relatives) - includes fallopian tube and peritoneal primaries

Patients with NON-epithelial ovarian cancers should sometimes also receive genetic testing but the associated genes are different

 Example: SMARCA4 variants associated with small cell carcinoma, hypercalcemic type

## Standard of ovarian cancer risk management

- Risk reducing bilateral Salpingo oophorectomy (RRBSO)
  - +/- hysterectomy
  - Specialized pathology protocol serial sectioning
  - Reduces ovarian cancer risk by ~ 80%
  - May increase risk for cardiovascular disease, osteoporosis, cognitive impairment, all-cause mortality
- Emerging considerations for salpingectomy with delayed BSO

#### Ovarian cancer risk genes Gene Lifetime Recommend/Consider RRSO Risk @ Age BRCA1 39-58% Recommend @ 35-40 BRCA2 13-29% Recommend @ 40-45 BRIP1 5-15% Recommend @ 45-50 RAD51D 10-20% Recommend @ 45-50 RAD51C 10-15% Recommend @ 45-50 PALB2 3-5% Consider @ 45-50 Lynch Syndrome genes 1-38% Individualized option ATM 2-3% Insufficient evidence to recommend - manage based on fam hx

## Genetic testing for patients with prostate cancer

ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CHEK2, HOXB13, TP53

NCCN -

- All patients with metastatic disease (Stage IVB) or nodepositive (Stage IVA) cancer
- Very high- or high-risk disease
- All Ashkenazi Jewish patients
- All patients who also have significant family history (including breast, ovarian, pancreas)

All 1st degree relatives of above

#### Prostate cancer genes

Gene	Absolute prostate cancer risk	Age to have/consider prostate screening
BRCA2	19-61%	Recommend age 40
BRCA1	7-26%	Consider age 40
CHEK2	Emerging evidence	Consider age 40
ATM	Emerging evidence	Consider age 40

 Prostate cancer screening interval dependent on results and risk level Baseline PSA Baseline digital rectal examination

# Genetic testing for patients with pancreatic cancer

ATM, BRCA1, BRCA2, CDKN2A, PALB2, STK11, TP53, Lynch Syndrome genes

#### NCCN

- All patients with exocrine pancreatic cancer
- All 1st degree relatives with exocrine pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic cancer genes		
Gene	Absolute pancreatic cancer risk	Age to have/consider pancreatic screening (or 10 years younger than youngest familial dx
STK11	>15%	Age 30-35
CDKN2A	>15%	Age 40
BRCA2	5-10%	Age 50
ATM	5-10%	Age 50
BRCA1	Up to 5%	Age 50 only for those with family history in 1st/2nd degree relative
PALB2	2-5%	Age 50 only for those with family history in 1st/2nd degree relative
TP53	~5%	Age 50 only for those with family history in 1st/2nd degree relative

### Pancreatic cancer screening

- Screening should take place in high-volume center after discussion about limitation, possible costs, uncertainties
- Annual contrast-enhanced MRI/MRCP and/or endoscopic ultrasound
  - Shorter intervals based on clinical judgement



## Cancer Genetics – Testing and Management of Hereditary Risk

Julia Cooper, MS, CGC Licensed Genetic Counselor Clinical Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine Division of Human Genetics

MedNet21

The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center

#### Genetic testing for patients with colon cancer

Such as: APC, ATM, AXIN2, BMPR1A, GALNT12, GREM1, Lynch syndrome (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, EPCAM), POLE, POLD1, PTEN, SMAD4, STK11, TP53.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN):

- CRC diagnosed <50 y</li>
- CRC and another LS-related cancer, any age
- CRC and a 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relative with a LS-related cancer <50 y</li>
- CRC and ≥2 1st or 2nd degree relatives with a LS-related cancer, any age

\*LS-related cancers: colon, endometrial, gastric, ovarian, pancreatic, urothelial, brain, sebaceous adenomas/carcinoma, keratoacanthomas

#### Lynch syndrome screening outcomes

Tumor Screening Outcome Possible Cause		Likelihood of Lynch Syndrome	Perform LS Genetic Testing	
All proteins present	N/A	Reduced	No	
MLH1/PMS2 absent	BRAF mutation or MLH1 hypermethylation	Reduced	No	
	MLH1 germline variant	Increased	Yes	
MSH2/MSH6 absent	MSH2 or EPCAM germline variant (Rarely – MSH6 mutation)	Increased	Yes	
MSH6 absent	MSH6 germline variant	Increased	Yes	
PMS2 absent	PMS2 germline variant (Rarely - MLH1 mutation)	Increased	Yes	
MSI – unstable	BRAF mutation or MLH1 hypermethylation	Reduced	No	
	Germline variant	Increased	Yes	

#### Lynch Syndrome: different genes bring different risks

		•		_	NCCN
Cancer Type	General Population	MLH1	MSH2, EPCAM	MSH6	PMS2
Colorectal	4.2%	46-61%	33-52%	10-44%	8.7-20%
Endometrial	3.1%	34-54%	21-57%	16-49%	13-26%
Ovarian	1.3%	4-20%	8-38%	<u>&lt;</u> 1-13%	1.3-3%
Hepatobiliary Tract	0.2%	1.9-3.7%	0.02-1.7%	0.2- <u>&lt;</u> 1%	0.2- <u>&lt;</u> 1%
Urinary Tract/Bladder	2.4%	0.5-7%	2.2-28%	0.7-8.2%	<u>≤</u> 1-3.7%
Small Bowel	0.3%	0.4-11%	1.1-10%	<u>&lt;</u> 1-4%	0.1-0.3%
Brain/CNS	0.6%	0.7-1.7%	2.5-7.7%	0.8-1.8%	0.6- <u>≤</u> 1%
Gastric	0.9%	5-7%	0.2-9.0%	<u>&lt;</u> 1-7.9%	Inadequate data
Pancreas	1.6%	6.2%	0.5-1.6%	1.4-1.6%	<u>&lt;</u> 1-1.6%
Sebaceous Neoplasm	Unspecified	Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased
Prostate	11.6%	4.4-13.8%	3.9-23.8%	2.5-11.6%	4.6-11.6%

	Lynch Syndrome Surveillance Options				
Cancer Type	Recommendations				
Colorectal Cancer	<ul> <li>MLH1_MSH2_EPCAM: Colonoscopy every 1-2 y beginning at age 20-25 (or 2-5 years younger than earliest diagnosis if &lt;25</li> <li>MSH6_PMS2: Colonoscopy every 1-3 y beginning at age 30-35 (or 2-5 years younger than earliest diagnosis if &lt;25</li> <li>Consideration of taking daily aspirin (discretion of physician)</li> </ul>				
Endometrial and Ovarian Cancer	Education regarding symptoms     Consideration of complete hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy after childbearing ages     Consideration of endometrial biopsy every 1-2y beginning at age 30.35     TVUS and CA-125 surveillance could be considered but no evidence of efficacy				
Gastric and Small Bowel Cancer	Endoscopy every 2-4y beginning at age 30-40y - consider random biopsy when known risk factors are present				
Pancreatic Cancer	Based on family history – with ≥1 FDR/SDR with pancreatic cancer     Beginning at age 50 (or 10y younger than earliest family diagnosis)     Annual magnetic resonance cholanjopancreatography (MCRP) and/or endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)				
Urothelial Cancer	Consider annual urinalysis beginning at age 30-35				
Brain/CNS Cancer	Consider annual physical/neurological exam beginning at age 25-30				
Skin Manifestations	Consider dermatology full body exam every 1-2y; age to begin is individualized				
Prostate Cancer	Manage based on gene and family history     Consider annual screens at age 40				

## Genetic testing for patients with polyposis

Such as: APC, AXIN2, BMPR1A, GREM1, MBD4\*, MSH3\*, MUTYH\*, NTHL1\*, POLD1, POLE, PTEN, RNF43, SMAD4, STK11.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN):

- ≥10 cumulative adenomas
- ≥2 Peutz-Jeghers-type hamartomatous polyps of the GI tract plus mucocutaneous hyperpigmentation
- ≥5 serrated polyps proximal to the rectum

\*Biallelic variants only (i.e., autosomal recessive conditions)

## Genetic testing for patients with endometrial cancer

Such as: Lynch syndrome (MLH1, MSH2, MSH6, PMS2, EPCAM), NTHL1 (biallelic), POLD1, POLE, PTEN, TP53

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN):

- EC diagnosed <50 y</li>
- EC and another LS-related cancer, any age
- EC and a 1st or 2nd degree relative with a LS-related cancer <50 y
- EC and ≥2 1<sup>st</sup> or 2<sup>nd</sup> degree relatives with a LS-related cancer, any age

\*LS-related cancers: colon, endometrial, gastric, ovarian, pancreatic, urothelial, brain, sebaceous adenomas/carcinoma, keratoacanthomas

## Genetic testing for unaffected patients – family history of CRC, EC, etc.

National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN):

- ≥1 1st degree relative with CRC or EC <50y
- ≥1 1st degree relative with CRC or EC plus another LSrelated cancer, any age
- ≥2 1st or 2nd degree relatives with LS-related cancer, including one <50y
- ≥3 1st or 2nd degree relatives with LS-related cancer, any age
- Those with ≥5% chance of mutation using risk models

## Standard of CRC & EC cancer risk management

- Colon cancer
  - Earlier, more frequent colonoscopies
  - Other polyposis endoscopies
- Endometrial cancer
  - Symptom awareness
  - TVUS screening not sufficiently sensitive or specific, but may be considered in post-menopausal women

#### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Pre-test counseling
- Testing considerations
- Testing approach
- Risk to relatives
- Reproductive options
- Tumor genomic testing
- Post-test counseling

#### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Pre-test counseling
  - Assessing patient's needs, level of concern, goals
  - Collecting family history
  - Preparing the patient for possible outcomes
    - Positive, negative, VUS
  - Discussing possible management changes
  - Discuss plan for result disclosure
  - Informed consent, including GINA if applicable

#### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Testing considerations
  - Multi-gene panels, including for people with previously limited testing
  - Full sequencing and testing for large genomic rearrangements
  - Commercial or academic labs, CAP and CLIA certified
  - Appropriate sample type
  - Testing of minors only if medical management differs <18</li>

### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Testing approach
  - Test affected individual(s) first
  - Unaffected individuals can be uninformative negatives
  - Cascade testing of a VUS is not advised

#### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Risk to relatives
  - Depending on result and inheritance pattern
  - Cascade testing
  - Changes to management in at-risk relatives
- Reproductive options
  - IVF and PGT

### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Tumor genomic testing
  - "Somatic" testing may provide information suggesting a potential germline finding

### **Principles of Cancer Risk Assessment**

- Post-test counseling
  - Discuss results and associated risks
  - Recommend medical management options
    - Specialist referrals
  - Importance of notifying family members
  - Other resources